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#### Abstract

A fully saturated poroelastic medium is confined by the sides of a cylinder, and the regions below and above the medium are filled with fluid at respective constant pressures. The filtration flow of fluid through the poroelastic medium and the small deformations of the medium are described by a quasi-static Biot system of partial differential equations. Our objective here is to establish the well-posedness of an initial-boundary-value problem for this system in which the poroelastic medium is fixed and sealed on the sides, free and in contact with the exterior fluid on the top and bottom, and displacement of the medium is unilaterally constrained on the top by a Signorini-type free boundary condition.
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## 1. Introduction

The Biot model of poroelasticity arose from classical consolidation [6, 58] and acoustics problems $[18,24,30]$ in soil science and has been developed for increasingly more demanding modeling needs of geophysics. Recently developed sensor technologies continue to deliver large amounts of detailed data which will be useful with appropriate models, but there is a corresponding need to determine coefficients for these models from laboratory experiments, many of which involve contact or indentation problems [5]. Similar problems arise in the manufacture of composite materials by injection molding [46]. These involve injection of a liquid into a porous matrix of reinforcing elements and depend on the rheological properties of the liquid as well as the mechanical properties of the solid matrix; this is constrained by a form to determine its final shape. The case of incompressible components arises in soft hydrated biological tissue modeled as a solid-fluid aggregate [38]. Biomechanical experiments on soft tissue have shown that it is often described well by the Biot system of poroelasticity. The best example is articular cartilage, a particularly simple tissue to model because it is mostly water by weight, and it follows a remarkably linear relationship between stress and strain up to about $20 \%$ of strain [37, 40]. In addition to a myriad of forward contact problems of interest for cartilage behavior in joints, obstacle problems for this system arise naturally in indentation experiments to calibrate the model by determining the coefficients in the system [5]. Fractures in deformable porous media and debris-filled fractures in rigid porous media have been studied [39]; their response to increased fluid pressure can be modeled as contact problems. In each of these areas, computational modeling has made substantial strides, but the theory of contact problems for the Biot system is very limited.

The paper [59] proves existence for an analogous problem of quasistatic unilateral frictionless contact of a thermoelastic body with a rigid constraint. The contact is modeled by Signorini's condition, but the thermal coupling depends on the distance between the medium and the rigid foundation. Similar problems were developed earlier in [2, 4, 48]. Existence theory was presented in [56] for a highly nonlinear Biot system modeling diffusion of a slightly compressible fluid through a partially saturated poroelastic medium, and the seepage surface was determined there by a
variational inequality on the boundary for a unilateral constraint on the pressure.

We develop here an initial-boundary-value problem for the Biot system with a unilateral constraint on the normal displacement at the boundary, and it includes additional aspects of the applications that are frequently omitted in the theory. The poroelastic medium may have a resistance to normal fluid flow across the boundary [31]; this reflects an additional concentrated drag due to a filter cake or a semi-porous membrane. It is manifested as a jump in fluid pressure or a steep pressure gradient near the interface, and we shall see that it determines the appropriate space for the pressure or flux. In many applications both the fluid and the material of the porous medium may be incompressible, and this degenerate condition is permitted below. It may lead to special behavior of solutions.

The Plan. The notation to be used together with relevant classical material for the development constitute the remainder of this Introduction. The Biot system of partial differential equations and the boundary conditions for our problem are presented in Section 2, and these lead to a weak formulation of the problem. In Section 3 we write the weak problem in an abstract mixed form and construct a nonlinear operator that contains the elasticity problem with a unilateral boundary constraint. This operator gives the local fluid content as a function of pressure. Section 4 begins with the construction of the linear symmetric flux-pressure operator and the corresponding Hilbert space in which the weak problem is then shown to be well-posed. This is done by reducing it to an initial-value problem, first for a semilinear implicit evolution equation and then by using techniques from [54] for an evolution equation with a single m-accretive operator in the Hilbert space. Section 5 contains a summary of the results for the weak problem.

Notation. We assume the mechanical behavior of the porous medium is determined by classical small-strain elasticity. In order to describe this, we denote hereafter by $\Sigma$ the space of symmetric second-order tensors. Boldface letters will be used to indicate vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and Greek letters to indicate second-order tensors in $\Sigma$. With $\delta=\left\{\delta_{i j}\right\}$ we denote the identity tensor consisting of ones on the diagonal and zeros elsewhere. We adopt the convention that repeated indices are summed. In particular, the scalar
product of two vectors is $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}=v_{i} w_{i}$, and that of two second-order tensors is $\sigma: \tau=\sigma_{i j} \tau_{i j}$.

For any piecewise smoothly bounded region $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, we denote its boundary by $\Gamma \equiv \partial \Omega$. A unit normal vector on a surface is denoted by $\mathbf{n}=\left\{n_{i}\right\}$ and on $\Gamma$ it is always oriented outward. For a vector $\mathbf{w}$, we denote the normal coordinate $w_{n}=\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{n}$ and the tangential component $\mathbf{w}_{T}=\mathbf{w}-w_{n} \mathbf{n}$. Likewise for the tensor $\tau$ in $\Sigma$, we have the value at $\mathbf{w}$, $\tau(\mathbf{w})=\left\{\tau_{i j} w_{i}\right\} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, its normal coordinate $\tau(\mathbf{w})_{n}=\tau(\mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{n}=\tau_{i j} w_{i} n_{j}$, and its tangential component $\tau(\mathbf{w})_{T}=\tau(\mathbf{w})-\tau(\mathbf{w})_{n} \mathbf{n}$. We also set $\tau_{n}=$ $\tau(\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{n}$.

For any Banach space $B$ we denote its dual space of continuous linear functionals on $B$ by $B^{\prime}$. Standard function spaces will be used $[1,20,57]$. Let $H^{1}(\Omega)$ be the Sobolev space consisting of those functions in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ having each of their partial derivatives also in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. The trace map or restriction to the boundary is the continuous linear map $\gamma: H^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow$ $L^{2}(\Gamma)$ defined by $\gamma(w)=\left.w\right|_{\Gamma}$; its range is $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial \Omega)$ with the scalar-product inherited from the quotient map, and the dual of the range is $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial \Omega)^{\prime} \equiv$ $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial \Omega)$. Corresponding spaces of vector-valued functions will be denoted by boldface symbols. For example, we denote the product space $L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}$ by $\mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)$ and the corresponding triple of Sobolev spaces by $\mathbf{H}^{1}(\Omega) \equiv H^{1}(\Omega)^{3}$. We shall also use an intermediate space $\mathbf{L}_{\text {div }}^{2}(\Omega)$ of vector functions in $\mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)$ for which the divergence belongs to $L^{2}(\Omega)$. Recall that for the functions $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{L}_{\text {div }}^{2}(\Omega)$ there is a normal trace on the interface, and this is denoted by $r_{n} \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial \Omega)$ since it takes the value $\gamma(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{n}$ on the smooth functions $\mathbf{r}$ in $\mathbf{L}_{\text {div }}^{2}(\Omega)$. Then we have the Stokes formula $[20,57]$

$$
\int_{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{r} v+\mathbf{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} v) d x=r_{n}(\gamma(v)) \text { for } \mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{L}_{d i v}^{2}(\Omega), v \in H^{1}(\Omega)
$$

Here $\boldsymbol{\nabla}$. denotes the divergence and $\boldsymbol{\nabla}$ is the gradient differential operator. If $\mathbf{r}=\boldsymbol{\nabla} u$, then $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{r}=\Delta u$ is the Laplacian of $u$, and $r_{n}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}$ is the normal derivative on the boundary. We denote by $\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega)$ the indicated space of $\Sigma$-valued functions on $\Omega$.

Corresponding spaces of vector-valued functions of time will be used. If $H$ is a Hilbert space and $1 \leq p<\infty$, then $L^{p}(0, T ; H)$ is the Banach space of (equivalence classes of) $H$-valued functions $v$ for which the Bochner integral $\int_{0}^{T}\|v(t)\|_{H}^{p} d t$ is finite, and we define its norm by $\|v\|_{L^{p}(0, T ; H)}=$
$\left(\int_{0}^{T}\|v(t)\|_{H}^{p} d t\right)^{1 / p}$. For $p=\infty, L^{\infty}(0, T ; H)$ denotes the bounded measurable functions with the essential supremum norm. $W^{1, p}(0, T ; H)$ is the Banach space of antiderivatives, $u(t)=u(0)+\int_{0}^{t} v(s) d s$, with $v \in$ $L^{p}(0, T ; H)$. A superscript dot will denote the time derivative $\frac{d}{d t}$, so $u \in W^{1, p}(0, T ; H)$ is absolutely continuous and $\dot{u}=v$. If $H$ is a space of real-valued functions on $\Omega$, then for $u \in W^{1, p}(0, T ; H)$ superscript dot corresponds to the time derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$.

We will use some concepts and constructions from monotone operators and convex analysis in Hilbert space [10, 21, 49]. Let $V$ be a Hilbert space and $\mathcal{A}: \operatorname{Dom}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ a function with domain $\operatorname{Dom}(\mathcal{A}) \subset V$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is monotone if $(\mathcal{A}(v)-\mathcal{A}(w))(v-w) \geq 0$ for all $v, w \in \operatorname{Dom}(\mathcal{A})$. More generally, let $\mathcal{A} \subset V \times V^{\prime}$ be a multi-valued relation on $V \times V^{\prime}$ with domain $\operatorname{Dom}(\mathcal{A})=\{v \in V:(v, f) \in \mathcal{A}\}$ and range $\operatorname{Rg}(\mathcal{A})=\left\{f \in V^{\prime}:(v, f) \in\right.$ $\mathcal{A}\}$. Then the domain $\operatorname{Dom}(\mathcal{A})$ is the set of all $v \in V$ with $\mathcal{A}(v) \equiv\{f \in$ $\left.V^{\prime}:(v, f) \in \mathcal{A}\right\} \neq \emptyset$. The relation $\mathcal{A}$ is monotone if $(f-g)(v-w) \geq 0$ for all $(v, f),(w, g) \in \mathcal{A}$, that is, for all $f \in \mathcal{A}(v), g \in \mathcal{A}(w)$. It is strictly monotone if strict inequality holds whenever $v \neq w$.

Suppose $j: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ is an extended real-valued function. It is proper if $\operatorname{Dom}(j) \equiv\{x \in V: j(x)<+\infty\}$ is non-empty and convex if $j(t x+(1-t) y) \leq t j(x)+(1-t) j(y)$ for $x, y \in V$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Assume it is also lower semi-continuous. The subdifferential of $j$ is the relation $\partial j: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ defined by $f \in \partial j(u)$ if

$$
u \in V, f \in V^{\prime}, f(v-u) \leq j(v)-j(u) \text { for all } v \in V
$$

Then $\partial j$ is a monotone operator, possibly multi-valued. If $j$ has a Gateaux differential $j^{\prime}(u) \in V^{\prime}$ at $u \in V$, then $\partial j(u)=\left\{j^{\prime}(u)\right\}$. If a set $K \subset V$ is non-empty, convex and closed, its indicator function is defined by $I_{K}(v)=$ 0 if $v \in K$ and $I_{K}(x)=+\infty$ otherwise. It is proper, convex and lowersemicontinuous, and $f \in \partial I_{K}(u)$ if and only if

$$
u \in K, f \in V^{\prime}, f(v-u) \geq 0 \text { for all } v \in K
$$

This is an example of a variational inequality, and it means that $f$ is minimum at $u$ along every line segment $[u, v]$ in $K$.

If the dual space $V^{\prime}$ is replaced by $V$ above and the duality $f(v)$ by the scalar product $(f, v)_{V}$, then monotone is replaced by accretive. In particular, if $\mathcal{R}: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ denotes the Riesz isomorphism, then $\mathcal{A}: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ is monotone if and only if $A=\mathcal{R}^{-1} \mathcal{A}$ is accretive, that is, $(u-v, x-y)_{V} \geq 0$
for all $u \in A(x), v \in A(y)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{A}$ is maximal monotone if it is monotone and $\mathcal{R}+\mathcal{A}$ is surjective. This is equivalent to $I+A$ being surjective, and we say that $A$ is $m$-accretive.

## 2. The Biot System

Let $G \times \mathbb{R}$ denote the cylinder in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with connected and simply connected horizontal cross-section $G \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$. The poroelastic medium initially occupies the region
(2.1) $\Omega \equiv\left\{\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right):\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in G, \varphi_{0}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)<x_{3}<\varphi_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\}$
in the cylinder between the graphs of two prescribed smooth functions, $\varphi_{j}: \bar{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $j=0,1$ with $\varphi_{0}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)<\varphi_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ for $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \bar{G}$. The boundary of $\Omega$ consists of the sides, bottom and top given respectively by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{S} \equiv & \left\{\mathbf{x}:\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \partial G, \varphi_{0}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)<x_{3}<\varphi_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\}, \\
& \Gamma_{0} \equiv\left\{\mathbf{x}:\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in G, x_{3}=\varphi_{0}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& \Gamma_{1} \equiv\left\{\mathbf{x}:\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in G, x_{3}=\varphi_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The regions in the cylinder below $\Gamma_{0}$ and above $\Gamma_{1}$ are filled with a slightly compressible viscous fluid at pressures $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$, respectively, and the medium is fully saturated by that fluid. Another smooth non-negative function $h: \bar{G} \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ determines the location of a rigid drained constraint above the medium at

$$
\Gamma_{h} \equiv\left\{\mathbf{x}:\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in G, x_{3}=\varphi_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+h\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\} .
$$

By drained we mean that it constrains the porous solid but does not impede the flow of fluid. The function $h(\cdot)$ is the initial vertical gap between the top of the medium and the constraint.

We write the constitutive equations in the poroelastic medium $\Omega$ together with the equations for mass and momentum balance as a Biot system of partial differential equations. In particular, $\dot{\mathbf{u}}$ and $\dot{p}$ are the velocity corresponding to a displacement $\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ of the porous structure $\Omega$ and the rate of change of the pressure $p=p(\mathbf{x}, t)$ of the fluid in $\Omega$, respectively. We work within the framework of the infinitesimal theory for deformations and define the small strain tensor or the linearized strain tensor $\varepsilon \in \Sigma$ as $\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}) \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \mathbf{u}+(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \mathbf{u})^{T}\right)$. Its components are given by $\varepsilon_{i j}(\mathbf{u}) \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{i} u_{j}+\partial_{j} u_{i}\right)$. Then Hooke's law takes the form $\sigma_{i j}(\mathbf{u})=E_{i j k \ell} \varepsilon_{k \ell}(\mathbf{u})$ in $\Omega$ for the elastic stress $\sigma(\mathbf{u})$ corresponding to the
$\operatorname{strain} \varepsilon(\mathbf{u})$ in the solid matrix of the porous medium. Here $E$ is the elasticity tensor. In the case of a homogeneous and isotropic medium, this tensor is $E_{i j k \ell}=\lambda \delta_{i j} \delta_{k \ell}+\mu\left(\delta_{i k} \delta_{j \ell}+\delta_{i \ell} \delta_{j k}\right)$, so the stress is given by $E_{i j k \ell} \varepsilon_{k \ell}(\mathbf{u}) \equiv \lambda \delta_{i j} \varepsilon_{k k}(\mathbf{u})+2 \mu \varepsilon_{i j}(\mathbf{u})$, where the constants $\lambda>0$ and $\mu>0$ are the Lamé coefficients, the dilation and shear moduli of elasticity, respectively. See $[28,32,34]$ for more details on the constitutive relations in elasticity.

The slow flow of fluid at pressure $p$ through a fully-saturated poroelastic medium displaced by $\mathbf{u}$ is described by the fully-dynamic Biot system

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \dot{p}+\alpha \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{u}}-\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \kappa(\boldsymbol{\nabla} p-\mathbf{g})=F, \tag{2.2a}
\end{equation*}
$$

This system with $\rho>0$ was developed by Biot $[16,17,18,19]$ to describe (higher frequency) deformation in porous media. Here $\mathbf{f}=\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$ denotes the volume distributed external forces acting on the structure, and $F=$ $F(\mathbf{x}, t)$ denotes any volume distributed fluid source density within that medium. The coefficient $c(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$ is a measure of the amount of fluid which is forced into a constant volume of the medium at $\mathbf{x}$ by pressure increments. When the fluid and solid are both incompressible we have $c(\mathbf{x})=0$; even then, the volume of the medium will vary as fluid is gained or lost or as displacements lead to consolidation of the solid. The Darcy (relative) velocity of fluid flow within the porous medium $\Omega$ is $\mathbf{q}=-\kappa(\nabla p-\mathbf{g})$, where $\kappa=\kappa_{i j}$ is the permeability tensor divided by fluid viscosity and $\mathbf{g}=\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})$ is the gravitational force. The total flux of pore fluid is $\alpha \dot{\mathbf{u}}+\mathbf{q}$, where $\alpha \approx 1$ is the Biot-Willis constant; it depends on the mechanical properties of the medium. Note that $\sigma(\mathbf{u})-\alpha p \delta$ is the total stress due to the combined elastic solid deformation and pore fluid pressure $p$ within the structure. The term $\alpha \boldsymbol{\nabla} p$ arises from the additional stress of the fluid pressure within the structure, and $\alpha \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{u}$ accounts for the variation in fluid content due to the local change in pore volume, so $c p+\alpha \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{u}$ is the fluid content of the medium. For the theory of this system in the formally equivalent context of coupled thermoelasticity, see [47], the fundamental work of Dafermos [27], and the exhaustive and complementary accounts of Carleson [25] and Kupradze [36].

For deformations with sufficiently slow variations of the solid velocity the inertia effects of the solid are negligible, i.e., the first term in (2.2b) is negligible, so we formally set $\rho=0$. In this case the solid matrix
displacement responds instantly to variations of the pressure gradient of fluid, and the flow and deformation are described by the quasi-static Biot system $[6,7,8,13,14,15,41,42,50,51]$

$$
\begin{gather*}
c \dot{p}+\alpha \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{u}}-\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \kappa(\boldsymbol{\nabla} p-\mathbf{g})=F  \tag{2.3a}\\
-\mu \Delta \mathbf{u}-(\lambda+\mu) \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{u}+\alpha \boldsymbol{\nabla} p=\mathbf{f} . \tag{2.3b}
\end{gather*}
$$

The incompressible case $c=0$ is studied in [43]. The system (2.3) results from the elimination of the variables $\mathbf{q}$ and $\sigma$ from the fundamental 4 -field system [11, 52, 53]

$$
\begin{gather*}
c \dot{p}+\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{q}+\alpha \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{u}}=F,  \tag{2.4a}\\
\mathcal{Q} \mathbf{q}+\boldsymbol{\nabla} p=\mathbf{g},  \tag{2.4b}\\
-\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \sigma+\alpha \boldsymbol{\nabla} p=\mathbf{f},  \tag{2.4c}\\
\sigma-E \varepsilon(\mathbf{u})=0 \text { in } \Omega \tag{2.4d}
\end{gather*}
$$

Conservation of fluid mass is (2.4a) and conservation of solid momentum is (2.4c). The equation (2.4b) is Darcy's law in which $\mathcal{Q}=\kappa^{-1}$ is the resistance tensor due to the medium drag and $\mathbf{g}$ is the gravitational force; the equation (2.4d) is Hooke's law. For both analytical and numerical purposes, it is useful to differentiate ( 2.4 d ) with respect to $t$ and resolve for the stress variables $p, \sigma$ and velocity variables $\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v}$ where $\mathbf{v}=\dot{\mathbf{u}}$. This formulation extends easily to much more general cases, including the fully-dynamic system and visco-plastic porous media, and it identifies the physical quantities that need to be specified in boundary or interface conditions [31, 52, 55]. Moreover, the numerical implementation of such mixed models often leads to better accuracy of the variables of primary interest, namely, flux and stress [20]. See [35, 60] for equivalent systems written with total stress to avoid locking. Here we shall retain $\mathbf{u}$ as an unknown due to the unilateral boundary constraint on displacement, so we eliminate stress and write (2.4) as the 3 -field system [12, 26, 45, 55, 56, 61]

$$
\begin{gather*}
c \dot{p}+\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{q}+\alpha \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{u}}=F,  \tag{2.5a}\\
\mathcal{Q} \mathbf{q}+\boldsymbol{\nabla} p=\mathbf{g},  \tag{2.5b}\\
-\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot E \varepsilon(\mathbf{u})+\alpha \boldsymbol{\nabla} p=\mathbf{f} . \tag{2.5c}
\end{gather*}
$$

However we shall retain the symbol $\sigma$ to be defined by (2.4d). See $[3,9$, $23,52,53]$ for coupling of the Biot system to a free fluid and [22, 44, 47] for alternative 3 -field formulations.

In the following section we introduce operators on appropriate function spaces for which the restrictions to $\Omega$ represent the terms in this system. These operators and the underlying spaces depend as well on the boundary conditions of solutions. After describing the boundary conditions for the poroelastic medium in a cylinder, we shall list the assumptions that will be followed thereafter and develop a weak formulation of the problem.
2.1. Boundary Conditions. The poroelastic medium is fixed and sealed along the sides. That is, we have null displacement and fluid flux determined respectively by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{0} \text { and } q_{n}=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{S} . \tag{2.6a}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the bottom there is a fluid entry resistance $\nu_{0} \geq 0$ to fluid flux across the interface, and the total stress from the medium is balanced with the known external fluid pressure $P_{0}$ below. These lead to the conditions

$$
\begin{array}{r}
p-\nu_{0} q_{n}=P_{0}, \\
\sigma(\mathbf{n})_{T}=\mathbf{0}, \text { and } \\
\sigma_{n}-\alpha p=-P_{0} \text { on } \Gamma_{0} . \tag{2.6d}
\end{array}
$$

The absence of any tangential friction implies that $\sigma(\mathbf{n})=\sigma_{n} \mathbf{n}$. From $(2.6 \mathrm{~b})$ and $(2.6 \mathrm{~d})$ it follows that $\sigma_{n}=\alpha \nu_{0} q_{n}+(1-\alpha)\left(-P_{0}\right)$. We note that $1-\alpha \approx 0$. The permeability of the interface is commonly reduced by a flux resistance due to damage or clogging by fracturing fluids or their additives. The resulting pressure discontinuity or steep gradient is modeled by this interface resistance [41, 42].
On the top the conditions are similar, but the stress balance depends also on the rigid constraint. We have there

$$
\begin{array}{r}
p-\nu_{1} q_{n}=P_{1}, \\
\sigma(\mathbf{n})_{T}=\mathbf{0}, \text { and } \\
u_{n} \leq h, \sigma_{n}-\alpha p+P_{1} \leq 0,  \tag{2.6~g}\\
\left(u_{n}-h\right)\left(\sigma_{n}-\alpha p+P_{1}\right)=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{1},
\end{array}
$$

where $\nu_{1} \geq 0$ is the fluid entry resistance on this interface, and $P_{1}$ is the external fluid pressure above the medium. The constraints (2.6g) extend the
classical Signorini contact conditions which model the contact of an elastic structure with a rigid constraint. Normal displacement $u_{n}$ is bounded by the constraint, total normal stress $\sigma_{n}-\alpha p$ is bounded by the fluid pressure at the top, and the medium is either in contact with the constraint or not. If the medium is in contact with the constraint at a point $\mathrm{x} \in \Gamma_{1}$, then $u_{n}(\mathbf{x})=h(\mathbf{x})$ and the constraint provides an additional negative normal stress $\sigma_{n}-\alpha p+P_{1}$ to the medium; otherwise, $u_{n}(\mathbf{x})<h(\mathbf{x})$ and we will have $\sigma_{n}=\alpha p-P_{1}$. See $[28,32,34]$ for more details.
2.2. The Assumptions. Hereafter we shall assume the following conditions hold.

- The domain $G$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is open, bounded, connected and simply connected, and the boundary $\partial G$ is Lipschitz continuous. The top and bottom functions $\varphi_{j}: \bar{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are Lipschitz, $j=0,1, \varphi_{0}<\varphi_{1}$, and the constraint gap $h \in H^{1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$ satisfies $h \geq 0$. Define $\Omega$ by (2.1).
- The elasticity tensor $E$ is symmetric and positive-definite, that is, $E \sigma: \tau=E \tau: \sigma$ for all $\sigma, \tau \in \Sigma$, and there is an $e_{0}>0$ for which $E \tau: \tau \geq e_{0}\|\tau\|^{2}$ for $\tau \in \Sigma$.
- The permeability tensor $\kappa$ and its inverse $\mathcal{Q}=\kappa^{-1}$ in $\Sigma$ are positive definite.
- The compressibility and coupling coefficients, $c \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $\alpha \in$ $\mathbb{R}$, satisfy $c(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$ a.e. and $\alpha>0$.
- For $j=0,1$, we have exterior pressure $P_{j} \in H^{1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)$ and interface resistance $\nu_{j} \in L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)$ satisfying $\nu_{j}(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$ a.e. in $\Gamma_{j}$. Let $\Gamma_{j}^{\nu} \subset \Gamma_{j}$ denote the closed and connected support of $\nu_{j}$, and assume $\nu_{j}^{-1} \in$ $L^{1}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\nu}\right)$.

The Weak Formulation. Define linear spaces that are determined in part by boundary conditions (2.6a), namely, the spaces

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{V}=\left\{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}^{1}(\Omega): \gamma(\mathbf{v})=\mathbf{0} \text { a.e. in } \mathbf{H}^{1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{S}\right)\right\}, \quad H=L^{2}(\Omega) \\
\mathbf{W}=\left\{\mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{div}}^{2}(\Omega): r_{n}=0 \text { in } H^{-1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{S}\right) \text { and } \int_{\Gamma_{k}} \nu_{k} r_{n}^{2} d S<+\infty, k=0,1\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

for solid displacement, fluid pressure, and flux, respectively. Since the trace operator is continuous, the set $\mathbf{V}$ is a closed subspace of $\mathbf{H}^{1}(\Omega)$ with the same norm. The last boundary conditions on $\mathbf{W}$ mean that
$\nu_{k}^{1 / 2} r_{n} \in L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{k}\right) \subset H^{-1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{k}\right), k=0,1$. Wherever $\nu_{k}=0$, the corresponding condition is not necessary. The space $\mathbf{W}$ equipped with the norm $\|\mathbf{r}\|_{\mathbf{W}}^{2}=\int_{\Omega}|\mathbf{r}|^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{r})^{2} d x+\sum_{k=0,1} \int_{\Gamma_{k}} \nu_{k} r_{n}^{2} d S$ is complete.

Lemma 2.1. We have inclusions $\nu_{k}^{1 / 2} H^{1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{k}\right) \subset L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{k}\right)$ and $\mathbf{V} \subset \mathbf{W}$.
Proof. Since $\Gamma_{k}$ has dimension 2, the Sobolev imbedding theorems show that $H^{1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{k}\right) \subset L^{4}\left(\Gamma_{k}\right)$. Then the result follows from the Hölder inequality.

We also define the set of admissible displacements $\mathbf{K}$ by

$$
\mathbf{K}=\left\{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}: \gamma\left(v_{n}\right) \leq h \text { a.e. in } H^{1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right\}
$$

This is a closed convex subset of $\mathbf{V}$ with $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbf{K}$. It determines the first constraint in $(2.6 \mathrm{~g})$; the remaining conditions are the complimentary constraints.

We seek a solution with $p(t) \in H, \mathbf{q}(t) \in \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{u}(t) \in \mathbf{K}$ for $t>0$. Multiplying the fluid conservation equation (2.5a) by $s \in H$ and integrating give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}(c \dot{p} s+\delta: \varepsilon(\alpha \dot{\mathbf{u}}+\mathbf{q}) s) d x=\int_{\Omega} F(t) s d x \tag{2.7a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next multiply the Darcy law (2.5b) by $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{W}$, integrate the gradient term using Stokes' formula and use (2.6a), (2.6b), and (2.6e) to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega}(\mathcal{Q} \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}-p \delta: \varepsilon(\mathbf{r})) d x & +\int_{\Gamma_{0}}\left(\nu_{0} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}+P_{0}\right) \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{r} d S  \tag{2.7b}\\
& +\int_{\Gamma_{1}}\left(\nu_{1} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}+P_{1}\right) \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{r} d S=\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{r} d x
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, multiply the momentum equation (2.5c) by $\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{u}$ with $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{K}$ and make use of the Stokes formula $\int_{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \cdot(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{u}) d x+\int_{\Omega} \sigma: \varepsilon(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{u}) d x=$ $\int_{\partial \Omega} \sigma(\mathbf{n}) \cdot(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{u}) d S$ and the boundary conditions (2.6c), (2.6d), (2.6f) and $(2.6 \mathrm{~g})$ to get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega}(E \varepsilon(\mathbf{u}): \varepsilon(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{u})-\alpha p \delta: \varepsilon(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{u})) d x  \tag{2.7c}\\
& +\int_{\Gamma_{0}} P_{0}(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{n} d S+\int_{\Gamma_{1}} P_{1}(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{n} d S \geq \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{u}) d x
\end{align*}
$$

Define the functional $P \in \mathbf{W}^{\prime}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\mathbf{r}) \equiv r_{n}\left(P_{0}\right)+r_{n}\left(P_{1}\right)=\int_{\Gamma_{0}} P_{0} r_{n} d S+\int_{\Gamma_{1}} P_{1} r_{n} d S, \mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{W} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the first equality is the definition and the second equality holds for smoother $r_{n}$. In summary, the weak formulation of the problem will require $(p(t), \mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{u}(t)) \in H \times \mathbf{W} \times \mathbf{K}$ for $t>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}(c \dot{p} s+\delta: \varepsilon(\alpha \dot{\mathbf{u}}+\mathbf{q}) s) d x=\int_{\Omega} F(t) s d x, \quad s \in H \tag{2.9a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega}(E \varepsilon(\mathbf{u}): \varepsilon(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{u})- & \alpha p \delta: \varepsilon(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{u})) d x  \tag{2.9c}\\
& \geq \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{u}) d x-P(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{u}), \quad \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{K}
\end{align*}
$$

and the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
(c p+\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \alpha \mathbf{u})(0)=b_{0} \text { in } \Omega . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have shown that the equations (2.9a) and (2.5a) are equivalent in the space $L^{2}(\Omega)$. Also the equation (2.9b) implies (2.5b) in the space $H^{-1}(\Omega)$, so we get $p \in H^{1}(\Omega), \gamma p \in H^{1 / 2}(\partial \Omega)$ and boundary conditions. Finally, the equation (2.9c) implies (2.5c) in the space $\mathbf{H}^{-1}(\Omega)$, which gives us $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \sigma \in \mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)$, hence, $\sigma(\mathbf{n}) \in \mathbf{H}^{-1 / 2}(\partial \Omega)$ and boundary conditions. In order to arrive at (2.9c), we made use of the boundary conditions (2.6c), $(2.6 \mathrm{~d}),(2.6 \mathrm{f}),(2.6 \mathrm{~g})$. The variational inequality in $(2.9 \mathrm{c})$ is equivalent to (2.5c) and $\gamma^{\prime}\left(\sigma(\mathbf{n})-\alpha p \mathbf{n}+P_{1} \mathbf{n}\right) \in-\partial I_{K}(\mathbf{u})$.

## 3. Mixed Variational Formulations

The weak formulation (2.9) is in the mixed variational form of a coupled pair of saddle point problems [20,29]. We shall construct operators in Hilbert spaces to represent this problem as a single semilinear implicit evolution equation for a corresponding pair of monotone operators in Hilbert
space. Then it will follow from the theory for the corresponding Cauchy problem that the initial-boundary-value problem for this mixed formulation is well-posed in appropriate spaces.

We make use of the equations (2.9) to define the linear and continuous operators $\mathcal{A}_{1}: \mathbf{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{W}^{\prime}, \mathcal{B}_{1}: \mathbf{W} \rightarrow H^{\prime}$, and functional $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in \mathbf{W}^{\prime}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{1} \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{r}) & =\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{Q} \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r} d x+\int_{\Gamma_{0}} \nu_{0} q_{n} r_{n} d S+\int_{\Gamma_{1}} \nu_{1} q_{n} r_{n} d S, \\
\mathcal{B}_{1} \mathbf{r}(s) & =-\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{r} s d x \\
\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\mathbf{r}) & =\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{r} d x-P(\mathbf{r}),
\end{aligned}
$$

the maximal monotone operator $\mathcal{A}_{2}: \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}^{\prime}$, the linear and continuous operators $\mathcal{B}_{2}: \mathbf{V} \rightarrow H^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}: H \rightarrow H^{\prime}$, and functional $\boldsymbol{\psi} \in \mathbf{V}^{\prime}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{2}(\mathbf{u})(\mathbf{v}) & =\int_{\Omega} E \varepsilon(\mathbf{u}): \varepsilon(\mathbf{v}) d x+\partial I_{K}(\mathbf{u})(\mathbf{v}) \\
\mathcal{B}_{2} \mathbf{v}(s) & =-\int_{\Omega} \alpha \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{v} s d x, \quad \mathcal{C} p(s)=\int_{\Omega} c p s d x \\
\boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{v}) & =\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} d x-P(\mathbf{v})
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the constraint is part of the nonlinear multi-valued operator $\mathcal{A}_{2}$. Then the weak problem (2.9) can be written in the mixed form

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
(3.11 \mathrm{a}) & \mathbf{q}(t) \in \mathbf{W}: \mathcal{A}_{1} \mathbf{q}(t)+\mathcal{B}_{1}^{\prime} p(t) \\
(3.11 \mathrm{~b}) & \mathbf{u}(t) \in \mathbf{V} \text { in } \mathbf{W}^{\prime},  \tag{3.11b}\\
(3.11 \mathrm{c}) & p(t) \in H:-\mathcal{A}_{2}(\mathbf{u}(t))+\mathcal{B}_{2}^{\prime} p(t) \quad \ni \boldsymbol{\psi} \text { in } \mathbf{V}^{\prime}, \\
\mathbf{q}(t)-\mathcal{B}_{2} \dot{\mathbf{u}}(t)+\mathcal{C} \dot{p}(t) & =F(t) \text { in } H^{\prime} .
\end{array}
$$

The system (3.11) consists of two standard mixed problems which are coupled in $(3.11 \mathrm{c})$. The flux-pressure pair $\mathcal{A}_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{1}$ will be used to construct a linear operator $\mathbf{A}$ and the displacement-pressure triple $\mathcal{A}_{2}, \mathcal{B}_{2}, \mathcal{C}$ will be used to construct a second operator $\mathbf{B}$. The pair $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$ will be used to express the system as a single implicit evolution equation for pressure in the space $H^{\prime}$. The second operator contains the variational inequality and consequently is nonlinear. In order to resolve the system, we first develop elementary properties of the operators in the system (3.11). Then we construct from these the corresponding pair of operators for the evolution
equation. First we recall some range conditions on the operators $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{2}$. In fact we will confirm that they both have full range.
Lemma 3.1. $\operatorname{Rg}\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}\right)=L^{2}(\Omega)$.
Proof. If $F \in L^{2}(\Omega)$, then there exists a unique $p \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\nabla} p \cdot \nabla s d x+\int_{\Gamma_{k}} \gamma(p) \gamma(s) d S=\int_{\Omega} F s d x, \forall s \in H^{1}(\Omega)
$$

Set $\mathbf{q}=\boldsymbol{\nabla} p$. Since $p \in H^{1}(\Omega)$, we have $\mathbf{q}=\boldsymbol{\nabla} p \in \mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)$, and $-\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{q}=$ $-\Delta p=F \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ which implies $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{div}}^{2}(\Omega)$. Moreover, by Stokes' formula we have

$$
q_{n}(\gamma(s))+\int_{\Gamma_{0} \cup \Gamma_{1}} \gamma(p) \gamma(s) d S=0, \forall s \in H^{1}(\Omega)
$$

This gives $q_{n}=0$ on $\Gamma_{S}$ and $q_{n}=-\gamma(p)$ on $\Gamma_{0} \cup \Gamma_{1}$. So from Lemma 2.1 we obtain $\nu_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}} q_{n}=-\nu_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}} p \in \nu_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}} H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Gamma_{k}\right) \subset L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{k}\right)$. Hence $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{W}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{1} \mathbf{q}=F$.

Corollary 3.2. $\mathcal{B}_{1}^{\prime}: H \rightarrow \mathbf{W}^{\prime}$ is bounding.
Lemma 3.3. $\operatorname{Rg}\left(\mathcal{B}_{2}\right)=L^{2}(\Omega)$.
Proof. First we show that $\mathcal{B}_{2}^{\prime}$ is injective: if $\mathcal{B}_{2}^{\prime} s=0$, then $\boldsymbol{\nabla} s=0$ which gives $s=c$ for a constant $c$. Now notice that for all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$ we have $\mathcal{B}_{2}^{\prime} c(v)=\mathcal{B}_{2} v(c)=-c \int_{\Omega} \alpha \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{v} d x=-c \int_{\Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{2}} \alpha v_{n} d S=0$, which in turn implies that $c=0$. Hence $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathcal{B}_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Next we show that $\mathcal{B}_{2}$ is surjective. Since $\Omega$ is bounded, we have the gradient estimate for $s \in L^{2}(\Omega)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|s\|_{L^{2}} \leq c(\Omega)\left(\left|\int_{\Omega} s d x\right|+\|\nabla s\|_{H^{-1}}\right) . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a proof see [57]. We can regard $\nabla s$ as the restriction of $\mathcal{B}_{2}^{\prime} s$ to $\mathbf{H}_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, i.e. $\alpha \boldsymbol{\nabla} s=\left.\mathcal{B}_{2}^{\prime} s\right|_{\mathbf{H}_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}$. Note that $\mathbf{H}_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \subset \mathbf{V}$, and for $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ we have $\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{V}} \leq c_{1}\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{H}_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}$. Then we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\|\boldsymbol{\nabla} s\|_{H^{-1}}=\sup _{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}_{0}^{1}} \frac{\left|\mathcal{B}_{2}^{\prime} s(\mathbf{v})\right|}{\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{H}_{0}^{1}}} \leq c_{1} \sup _{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}} \frac{\left|\mathcal{B}_{2}^{\prime} s(\mathbf{v})\right|}{\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{V}}}=c_{1}\left\|\mathcal{B}_{2}^{\prime} s\right\|_{\mathbf{V}^{\prime}} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that $\mathcal{B}_{2}^{\prime}$ is bounding, i.e. there is a constant $\tilde{c}>0$ such that

$$
\left\|\mathcal{B}_{2}^{\prime} s\right\|_{\mathbf{V}^{\prime}} \geq \tilde{c}\|s\|_{L^{2}}, \forall s \in L^{2}(\Omega)
$$

If it is not the case that $\mathcal{B}_{2}^{\prime}$ is bounding, then there exists a sequence $s_{k} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ with $\left\|s_{k}\right\|_{L^{2}}=1$ and $s \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ such that $s_{k} \rightharpoonup s$, i.e. $s_{k}$ converges to $s$ weakly in $L^{2}$, and $\left\|\mathcal{B}_{2}^{\prime} s_{k}\right\|_{\mathbf{V}^{\prime}} \rightarrow 0$. The weak convergence implies that $\int_{\Omega} s_{k} d x \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} s d x$. Then using (3.12) and (3.13) we see that $s_{k}$ is a Cauchy sequence, hence the convergence is strong, $s_{k} \rightarrow s$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)=H$ and $\|s\|_{L^{2}}=1$. Continuity of $\mathcal{B}_{2}^{\prime}$ leads to $\mathcal{B}_{2}^{\prime} s_{k} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{2}^{\prime} s=0$, but by injectivity of $\mathbf{B}_{2}^{\prime}$ it follows that $s=0$, a contradiction.

A Reduced Weak Problem. By means of a translation we can assume without loss of generality that $\varphi \equiv 0$ in (3.11a). To see this, we use the linear first standard mixed problem for flux and pressure in the system (3.11).

Lemma 3.4. For $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in \mathbf{W}^{\prime}$, there is a unique pair $\left(\mathbf{q}_{\varphi}, p_{\varphi}\right) \in \mathbf{W} \times H$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{1} \mathbf{q}_{\varphi}+\mathcal{B}_{1}^{\prime} p_{\varphi} & =\varphi \text { in } \mathbf{W}^{\prime} \\
-\mathcal{B}_{1} \mathbf{q}_{\varphi} & =0 \text { in } H^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the map $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \mapsto\left(\mathbf{q}_{\varphi}, p_{\varphi}\right)$ from $\mathbf{W}^{\prime}$ to $\mathbf{W} \times H$ is linear and continuous.
Proof. The operator $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ is symmetric, non-negative, and $\mathbf{W}$-coercive on $\operatorname{Ker} \mathcal{B}_{1}$. Since by Corollary 3.2 the operator $\mathcal{B}_{1}^{\prime}$ is injective and bounding, there exists a unique solution $\left(\mathbf{q}_{\varphi}, p_{\varphi}\right)$ of the above mixed problem [20].

Let $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{q}, p$ be a solution to (3.11). Then $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{q}-\mathbf{q}_{\varphi}, p-p_{\varphi}$ is a solution of (3.11) with $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=0$ and $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ replaced by $\boldsymbol{\psi}-\mathcal{B}_{2}^{\prime} p_{\varphi}$. The converse follows similarly, so solvability of the reduced weak problem
(3.14c) $p(t) \in H:-\mathcal{B}_{1} \mathbf{q}(t)-\mathcal{B}_{2} \dot{\mathbf{u}}(t)+\mathcal{C} \dot{p}(t)=F(t)$ in $H^{\prime}$.
is equivalent to that of (3.11).

The Fluid-Content Operator. Next we consider the complementary nonlinear mixed problem for displacement and pressure,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{A}_{2}(\mathbf{u})+\mathcal{B}_{2}^{\prime} p \ni \boldsymbol{\psi} \text { in } \mathbf{V}^{\prime}  \tag{3.15a}\\
-\mathcal{B}_{2} \mathbf{u}+\mathcal{C} p=F \text { in } H^{\prime} . \tag{3.15b}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $\mathcal{A}_{2}$ is strongly monotone and $\mathbf{V}$-coercive, it is invertible and the inverse $\mathcal{A}_{2}^{-1}: \mathbf{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$ is monotone and Lipschitz. Define the nonlinear operator $\mathbf{B}: H \rightarrow H^{\prime}$ for fluid content by

$$
\mathbf{B}(p) \equiv \mathcal{C} p-\mathcal{B}_{2} \mathcal{A}_{2}^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}-\mathcal{B}_{2}^{\prime} p\right) .
$$

The system (3.15) is equivalent to the single equation

$$
p \in H: \mathbf{B}(p)=F \text { in } H^{\prime} .
$$

We show that this operator is monotone. For a pair of solutions $\left(\mathbf{u}_{1}, p_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbf{u}_{2}, p_{2}\right)$ of (3.15) with corresponding $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathcal{A}_{2}\left(\mathbf{u}_{1}\right)-\mathcal{A}_{2}\left(\mathbf{u}_{2}\right)+\mathcal{B}_{2}^{\prime} p_{1}-\mathcal{B}_{2}^{\prime} p_{2} \ni 0 \\
-\mathcal{B}_{2} \mathbf{u}_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{2} \mathbf{u}_{2}+\mathcal{C} p_{1}-\mathcal{C} p_{2}=F_{1}-F_{2}
\end{array}
$$

Evaluating the first equation at $\mathbf{u}_{1}-\mathbf{u}_{2}$ and the second at $p_{1}-p_{2}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\mathcal{A}_{2}\left(\mathbf{u}_{1}\right)-\mathcal{A}_{2}\left(\mathbf{u}_{2}\right)\right)\left(\mathbf{u}_{1}-\mathbf{u}_{2}\right)+\left(\mathcal{B}_{2}^{\prime} p_{1}-\mathcal{B}_{2}^{\prime} p_{2}\right)\left(\mathbf{u}_{1}-\mathbf{u}_{2}\right) \ni 0 \\
-\left(\mathcal{B}_{2} \mathbf{u}_{1}-\mathcal{B}_{2} \mathbf{u}_{2}\right)\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)+\left(\mathcal{C} p_{1}-\mathcal{C} p_{2}\right)\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)=\left(F_{1}-F_{2}\right)\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Add these equations together and use the duality of $\mathcal{B}_{2}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{2}^{\prime}$ to get

$$
\left(\mathcal{A}_{2}\left(\mathbf{u}_{1}\right)-\mathcal{A}_{2}\left(\mathbf{u}_{2}\right)\right)\left(\mathbf{u}_{1}-\mathbf{u}_{2}\right)+\left(\mathcal{C} p_{1}-\mathcal{C} p_{2}\right)\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right) \ni\left(F_{1}-F_{2}\right)\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right) .
$$

Since $\mathcal{A}_{2}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are monotone, this shows the following.
Lemma 3.5. The operator $\mathbf{B}$ is a monotone Lipschitz function from $H$ into $H^{\prime}$.

It follows that $\mathbf{B}$ is a maximal monotone relation on $H \times H^{\prime}$. Without additional assumptions, it is not necessarily injective, but $\mathbf{B}^{-1}$ is a maximal monotone and possibly multi-valued relation.

Commonly $c(\mathbf{x}) \geq c_{2}>0$, and then we are in the following situation.
Corollary 3.6. Assume $\mathcal{C}$ is $H$-coercive. For $\boldsymbol{\psi} \in \mathbf{V}^{\prime}$ and $F \in H^{\prime}$, there is a unique pair $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{V}, p \in H$ such that (3.15) holds.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{A}_{2}$ is strongly monotone and $\mathcal{C}$ is coercive, it follows from the preceding monotonicity estimate that there exist constants $c_{1}>0, c_{2}>0$ such that

$$
c_{1}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{1}-\mathbf{u}_{2}\right\|_{\mathrm{V}}^{2}+c_{2}\left\|p_{1}-p_{2}\right\|_{H}^{2} \leq\left(F_{1}-F_{2}\right)\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)
$$

and this shows that $\mathbf{B}$ is strongly monotone.
Next we prove that B is coercive. Proceeding as above, (3.15) implies

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathcal{A}_{2}(\mathbf{u})(\mathbf{u})+\mathcal{B}_{2}^{\prime} p(\mathbf{u}) \ni \boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{u}) \\
-\mathcal{B}_{2} \mathbf{u}(p)+\mathcal{C} p(p)=\mathbf{B}(p)(p),
\end{array}
$$

and adding these two equations yields

$$
\mathcal{A}_{2}(\mathbf{u})(\mathbf{u})+\mathcal{C} p(p) \ni \mathbf{B}(p)(p)+\boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{u})
$$

Since $\mathcal{A}_{2}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are coercive, we obtain

$$
c_{0}\|\mathbf{u}\|_{V}^{2}+c_{2}\|p\|_{H}^{2} \leq \mathbf{B}(p)(p)+\|\boldsymbol{\psi}\|\|\mathbf{u}\|_{V}
$$

with positive constants $c_{0}$ and $c_{2}$, which in turn implies that

$$
c_{2}\|p\|_{H}^{2} \leq \mathbf{B}(p)(p)+\frac{\|\boldsymbol{\psi}\|^{2}}{4 c_{0}^{2}}
$$

This inequality shows that

$$
\lim _{\|p\|_{H} \rightarrow \infty}\|p\|_{H}^{-1} \mathbf{B}(p)(p)=+\infty .
$$

Thus the operator B is coercive, and the Minty-Browder Theorem ([49], Ch.II) asserts that for each $F \in H^{\prime}$, the equation $\mathbf{B}(p)=F$ has a unique solution. Set $\mathbf{u} \equiv \mathcal{A}_{2}^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}-\mathcal{B}_{2}^{\prime} p\right)$ to obtain the corresponding solution $\mathbf{u}, p$ of (3.15).

Note that in the situation of Corollary 3.6 the matrix operator $\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{A}_{2} & \mathcal{B}_{2}^{\prime} \\ -\mathcal{B}_{2} & \mathcal{C}\end{array}\right)$ : $\mathbf{V} \times H \rightarrow \mathbf{V}^{\prime} \times H^{\prime}$ is strongly monotone, so the Minty-Browder Theorem shows directly it is surjective. However, compressibility can be very small, so any estimates obtained from $c>0$ could be delicate.

## 4. The Evolution Equation

The monotone Lipschitz continuous operator $\mathbf{B}: H \rightarrow H^{\prime}$ constructed from the displacement-pressure system (3.15) is the first of the two that will be used to represent the 3 -field system (3.14) as a single nonlinear evolution equation. For the second operator, we return to the flux-pressure system. Define a linear function $\mathbf{A}: \operatorname{Dom}(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow H^{\prime}$ by $p \in \operatorname{Dom}(\mathbf{A}) \subset H$ with $\mathbf{A} p=F$ if there exists a $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{W}$ for which the pair $(\mathbf{q}, p) \in \mathbf{W} \times H$ is the unique solution to the system

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A}_{1} \mathbf{q}+\mathcal{B}_{1}^{\prime} p & =\mathbf{0} \text { in } \mathbf{W}^{\prime}  \tag{4.16a}\\
-\mathcal{B}_{1} \mathbf{q} & =F \text { in } H^{\prime} . \tag{4.16b}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ is invertible on $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}\right)$, we have $\operatorname{Rg}(\mathbf{A})=\operatorname{Rg}\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}\right)=H^{\prime}$. The uniqueness of $p$ follows from $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\{0\}$. Now we can rewrite the system (3.14) as the implicit nonlinear evolution equation
(4.17) $p(t) \in \operatorname{Dom}(\mathbf{A}) \subset H: \frac{d}{d t} \mathbf{B}(p(t))+\mathbf{A} p(t)=F(t)$ in $H^{\prime}, 0<t<T$, for fluid pressure. The first term represents the rate of increase of fluid content in each local cell, and the second is the outward flux across its boundary.

The 3-field Biot System. We shall show that the Cauchy problem is well-posed in a superspace of $H^{\prime}$ (with a weaker norm) for a formally weaker form of (4.17) determined by an extension of the operator $\mathbf{A}$. This will be a weak solution that takes values in the domain of a restriction of the operator $\mathbf{B}$. Note that $\mathbf{A}$ is not defined on all of $H$ and that $\mathbf{B}$ need not be injective, e.g., if $\mathcal{C}=0$. That is, $\mathbf{A}$ is an unbounded linear operator and $\mathbf{B}$ is nonlinear and possibly degenerate, i.e., not injective.

Lemma 4.1. The operator A constructed from (4.16) is a symmetric monotone bijection of $\operatorname{Dom}(\mathbf{A}) \subset H$ onto $H^{\prime}$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{A} p=-\mathcal{B}_{1} \mathbf{q}$ and $\mathbf{A} s=-\mathcal{B}_{1} \mathbf{r}$. Using the facts that $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ is linear continuous and symmetric, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{A} p(s)=-\mathcal{B}_{1} \mathbf{q}(s)=-\mathcal{B}_{1}^{\prime} s(\mathbf{q}) & =\mathcal{A}_{1} \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{q}) \\
& =\mathcal{A}_{1} \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{r})=-\mathcal{B}_{1}^{\prime} p(\mathbf{r})=-\mathcal{B}_{1} \mathbf{r}(p)=\mathbf{A} s(p)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathcal{Q}=\kappa^{-1}$ is positive-definite and $\nu_{0}, \nu_{1} \geq 0$, the operator $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ is monotone, so $\mathbf{A} p(p)=\mathcal{A}_{1} \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{q}) \geq 0$. Also $\mathcal{B}_{1}^{\prime}$ is injective, and this gives
the strict monotonicity of $\mathbf{A}$. Notice that $\mathbf{A}$ is onto $H^{\prime}$, and from the Poincaré inequality it follows that it is $H$-coercive, therefore the inverse $\mathbf{A}^{-1}: H^{\prime} \rightarrow H$ exists and is continuous.

First we extend $\mathbf{A}$ from $\operatorname{Dom}(\mathbf{A})$ to a larger Hilbert space $H_{a}$. Since A is a closed linear bijection onto $H^{\prime}$, its domain $\operatorname{Dom}(\mathbf{A})$ is a Hilbert space with the scalar-product $(p, s)_{\operatorname{Dom}(\mathbf{A})}=(\mathbf{A} p, \mathbf{A} s)_{H^{\prime}}$. A continuous scalar-product on $\operatorname{Dom}(\mathbf{A})$ is given by $(p, s)_{H_{a}} \equiv \mathbf{A} p(s), p, s \in \operatorname{Dom}(\mathbf{A})$. Define $H_{a}$ to be the completion of $\operatorname{Dom}(\mathbf{A})$ with that scalar-product; $H_{a}$ is a Hilbert space in which $\operatorname{Dom}(\mathbf{A})$ is dense and continuously imbedded. The dual space $H_{a}^{\prime}$ consists of those linear functionals in $\operatorname{Dom}(A)^{\prime}$ that are continuous with the weaker norm of the $H_{a}$ scalar-product. Then $\mathbf{A}$ has a unique extension by continuity from $\operatorname{Dom}(\mathbf{A})$ to $H_{a}$, and this extension, denoted also by $\mathbf{A}: H_{a} \rightarrow H_{a}^{\prime}$, is the Riesz isomorphism of the Hilbert space $H_{a}$ onto its dual. Since $\mathbf{A}$ is coercive over $H$, we have the second of the continuous inclusions $\operatorname{Dom}(\mathbf{A}) \subset H_{a} \subset H$ of the three Hilbert spaces. The identity $(u, v)_{H_{a}^{\prime}}=v\left(\mathbf{A}^{-1} u\right)$ for the scalar product in $H_{a}^{\prime}$ will be crucial below. Next restrict the function $\mathbf{B}: H \rightarrow H^{\prime}$ to $H_{a} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\left(H_{a}\right)$. Then the inverse is a (possibly multi-valued) relation $\mathbf{B}^{-1}: \mathbf{B}\left(H_{a}\right) \rightarrow H_{a}$. Note that $\mathbf{B}\left(H_{a}\right) \subset H^{\prime} \subset H_{a}^{\prime}$ and that the relation $\mathbf{B}^{-1}$ is monotone. These are summarized in the following diagram.


Finally, we define $\operatorname{Dom}(\mathbf{C}) \equiv \mathbf{B}\left(H_{a}\right)$ and set $\mathbf{C} \equiv \mathbf{A B}^{-1}$ to obtain the composition $\mathbf{C}: \operatorname{Dom}(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow H_{a}^{\prime}$ with domain $\operatorname{Dom}(\mathbf{C}) \subset H^{\prime} \subset H_{a}^{\prime}$.

Lemma 4.2. The relation $\mathbf{C} \subset H_{a}^{\prime} \times H_{a}^{\prime}$ is accretive and the range of the $\operatorname{sum} \mathbf{C}+I=(\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B}) \mathbf{B}^{-1}$ is $H_{a}^{\prime}$.

Proof. Let $u_{j} \in \mathbf{C}\left(v_{j}\right)$ for $j=1,2$. That is, $u_{j}=\mathbf{A} s_{j}$ for some $s_{j} \in$ $\mathbf{B}^{-1}\left(v_{j}\right)$, so $v_{j}=\mathbf{B}\left(s_{j}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\left(u_{1}-u_{2}, v_{1}-v_{2}\right)_{H_{a}^{\prime}}=\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right)\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right)=\left(\mathbf{B}\left(s_{1}\right)-\mathbf{B}\left(s_{2}\right)\right)\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right) \geq 0
$$

since $\mathbf{B}$ is monotone, and this shows $\mathbf{C}$ is accretive on $H_{a}^{\prime}$. Moreover, we have $u \in(\mathbf{C}+I)(v)$ in $H_{a}^{\prime}$ if and only if there is an $s \in H_{a}$ with
$u=\mathbf{A} s+\mathbf{B}(s)$ and $v=\mathbf{B}(s)$. Since the range of $\mathbf{B}$ is equal to $\operatorname{Dom}(\mathbf{C})$, it suffices to check that $\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B}$ maps $H_{a}$ onto $H_{a}^{\prime}$. But $\mathbf{B}$ is monotone and Lipschitz, hence, maximal monotone, and $\mathbf{A}$ is the Riesz isomorphism for $H_{a}$, so this follows from Minty's Theorem.

As a consequence of Lemma 4.2, the relation $\mathbf{C}$ is $m$-accretive, so its negative is the generator of a nonlinear semigroup of contractions in the Hilbert space $H_{a}^{\prime}$. This implies that the initial-value problem for the corresponding abstract evolution equation is well-posed in $H_{a}^{\prime}[10,21,33,49]$.

Theorem 4.3. Let $\mathbf{C}$ be m-accretive in the Hilbert space $H_{a}^{\prime}$. For each $b \in \operatorname{Dom}(\mathbf{C})$ and absolutely continuous $F \in W^{1,1}\left(0, T ; H_{a}^{\prime}\right)$, there is a unique absolutely continuous solution $w \in W^{1,1}\left(0, T ; H_{a}^{\prime}\right)$ of the initialvalue problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{w}(t)+\mathbf{C}(w(t)) \ni F(t) \text { in } L^{1}\left(0, T ; H_{a}^{\prime}\right), \quad w(0)=b \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

This solution is Lipschitz continuous $\left(w \in W^{1, \infty}\left(0, T ; H_{a}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and $w(t) \in$ $\operatorname{Dom}(\mathbf{C})$ for every $t \in[0, T]$.

Choose $p(t) \equiv \mathbf{A}^{-1}(F(t)-\dot{w}(t)) \in \mathbf{B}^{-1}(w(t))$. Then $p(t) \in H_{a}$ for almost every $t \in[0, T]$ and we have a weak solution of (4.17). Since $\mathbf{B}$ is a function, $\mathbf{B}(p(t)) \in W^{1, \infty}\left(0, T ; H_{a}^{\prime}\right)$ and we have obtained the following result.

Corollary 4.4. Assume the conditions of Section 2.2. Let the data $F \in$ $W^{1,1}\left(0, T ; H_{a}^{\prime}\right)$ and $b \in \mathbf{B}\left(H_{a}\right)$ be given. Then the reduced weak problem (3.14) is equivalent to (4.17). It has a unique weak solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{a}\right): \frac{d}{d t} \mathbf{B}(p(t))+\mathbf{A} p(t)=F(t) \text { a.e. in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{a}^{\prime}\right), \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mathbf{B}(p(0))=b$.

It remains to characterize the space $H_{a}$ and the corresponding extension of $\mathbf{A}$. Each $p \in \operatorname{Dom}(\mathbf{A})$ with $\mathbf{A} p=F \in H^{\prime}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \in H: \mathcal{Q} \mathbf{q}+\boldsymbol{\nabla} p=0, \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{q}=F \text { in } \Omega, \tag{4.20a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{n}=0 \text { on } S, \nu_{j} q_{n}-p=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{j}, j=0,1, \tag{4.20b}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{W}$. Thus $p \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{A} p(p)= & \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{Q} \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{q} d x+\int_{\Gamma_{0}} \nu_{0} q_{n}^{2} d S+\int_{\Gamma_{1}} \nu_{1} q_{n}^{2} d S  \tag{4.21}\\
& =\int_{\Omega} \kappa \boldsymbol{\nabla} p \cdot \nabla p d x+\int_{\Gamma_{0}^{\nu}} \nu_{0}^{-1} p^{2} d S+\int_{\Gamma_{1}^{\nu}} \nu_{1}^{-1} p^{2} d S<+\infty
\end{align*}
$$

Recall that $\Gamma_{j}^{\nu}$ denotes the support of $\nu_{j}, j=0,1$.
Suppose $\mathbf{A} p(p)=0$. Then $q_{n}=0$ and $p=p_{0}$, a constant; then (4.20b) shows $p=0$, so $\mathbf{A} p(p)^{1 / 2}$ is a norm. Completion of $\operatorname{Dom}(\mathbf{A})$ in this norm yields the space

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{a}=\left\{s \in H^{1}(\Omega): \gamma(s) \in \nu_{0}^{1 / 2} L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right) \oplus \nu_{1}^{1 / 2} L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right\} \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, note that for every $p \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ the boundary integrals in (4.21) are finite by Lemma 2.1, so $\mathbf{A} p(p)$ is equivalent to the $H^{1}(\Omega)$ norm.

The extension $\mathbf{A}: H_{a} \rightarrow H_{a}^{\prime}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A} p(s)=\int_{\Omega} \kappa \boldsymbol{\nabla} p \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} s d x+\int_{\Gamma_{0}^{\nu}} \nu_{0}^{-1} p s d S+\int_{\Gamma_{1}^{\nu}} \nu_{1}^{-1} p s d S, p, s \in H_{a} . \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the Riesz map of $H_{a}$ with the norm determined by (4.21). It is the classical minimization formulation of the elliptic equation $-\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \kappa \boldsymbol{\nabla} p=F$ with Neumann and Robin boundary conditions (4.20b) for the reduced weak formulation (3.14). Note that for $s \in H_{a}$ we have $\gamma(s)=0$ on $\Gamma_{k} \backslash \Gamma_{k}^{\nu}$. Also, the space $H_{a}^{\prime}$ has boundary values, unlike $H^{\prime}$, and these are part of an equation in $H_{a}^{\prime}$.

The 2-field Biot System. Finally we show directly that the space $H_{a}$ is the pressure-space for the weak formulation of the 2 -field system (2.3). Recall that $\mathcal{Q}^{-1}=\kappa$. Define $\kappa_{j}=\nu_{j}^{-1}$ on $\Gamma_{j}^{\nu}$ for $j=1,2$ so that

$$
H_{a}=\left\{s \in H^{1}(\Omega): \kappa_{j}^{1 / 2} \gamma(s) \in L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\nu}\right) \text { for } j=1,2\right\}
$$

For a stationary solution of equations (2.5a), (2.5b) and boundary conditions (2.6a), (2.6b), (2.6e) we compute

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} F s d x=\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{q} s d x=-\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{q} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} s d x+\int_{\partial \Omega} q_{n} s d S  \tag{4.24}\\
& =\int_{\Omega} \kappa(\boldsymbol{\nabla} p-\mathbf{g}) \nabla s d x+\int_{\Gamma_{0}} \kappa_{0}\left(p-P_{0}\right) s d S+\int_{\Gamma_{1}} \kappa_{1}\left(p-P_{1}\right) s d S
\end{align*}
$$

for $s \in V$. After translating to obtain homogeneous data $\mathbf{g}=\mathbf{0}, P_{0}=$ $P_{1}=0$, we obtain the characterization (4.23) of $\mathbf{A}$.

## 5. Summary: The Weak Solution

Theorem 5.1. Assume the conditions of Section 2.2 and define $H_{a}$ by (4.22). Let the data be given with $F \in W^{1,1}\left(0, T ; H^{\prime}\right), b \in \mathbf{B}\left(H_{a}\right)$. Then the reduced weak problem (3.14) has a unique weak solution for which $\mathbf{u} \in L^{\infty}(0, T ; \mathbf{V}), p \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{a}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{2}(\mathbf{u}(t))+\mathcal{B}_{2}^{\prime} p(t) \ni \boldsymbol{\psi} \text { in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; \mathbf{V}^{\prime}\right), \text { and } \tag{5.25a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\mathbf{A} p(t)+\frac{d}{d t}\left(\mathcal{C} p(t)-\mathcal{B}_{2} \mathbf{u}(t)\right)=F(t) \text { in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{a}^{\prime}\right)
$$ and $\mathbf{A} p(t)$ is given by (4.23).

The weak solution obtained in Theorem 5.1 differs somewhat from the weak problem (3.14). The pressure $p(t)$ is obtained in the space $H_{a}$, so it is smoother than the required $p(t) \in H$ for (3.14). If additionally $p(t) \in \operatorname{Dom}(\mathbf{A})$, then
$\mathcal{A}_{1} \mathbf{q}(t)+\mathcal{B}_{1}^{\prime} p(t)=0$ in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; \mathbf{W}^{\prime}\right)$,
$(5.26 \mathrm{c})-\mathcal{B}_{1} \mathbf{q}(t)+\frac{d}{d t}\left(\mathcal{C} p(t)-\mathcal{B}_{2} \mathbf{u}(t)\right)=F(t)$ in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{a}^{\prime}\right)$,
and the equation $(5.26 \mathrm{c})$ holds in the larger dual space $H_{a}^{\prime}$.

## References

[1] Robert A. Adams. Sobolev spaces. Academic Press [A subsidiary of Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1975. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 65.
[2] W. Allegretto, John R. Cannon, and Yanping Lin. A parabolic integro-differential equation arising from thermoelastic contact. Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems, 3(2):217-234, 1997.
[3] Ilona Ambartsumyan, Eldar Khattatov, Ivan Yotov, and Paolo Zunino. A Lagrange multiplier method for a Stokes-Biot fluid-poroelastic structure interaction model. Numer. Math., 140(2):513553, 2018.
[4] Kevin T. Andrews, Peter Shi, Meir Shillor, and Steve Wright. Thermoelastic contact with Barber's heat exchange condition. Appl. Math. Optim., 28(1):11-48, 1993.
[5] Gerald A. Ateshian, Steve Maas, and Jeffrey A. Weiss. Finite element algorithm for frictionless contact of porous permeable media under finite deformation and sliding. Journal of Biomechanical Engineering, 132(6), 2010.
[6] J.-L. Auriault and E. Sánchez-Palencia. Étude du comportement macroscopique d'un milieu poreux saturé déformable. J. Mécanique, 16(4):575-603, 1977.
[7] J.-L. Auriault, T. Strzelecki, J. Bauer, and S. He. Porous deformable media saturated by a very compressible fluid: quasi-statics. European J. Mech. A Solids, 9(4):373-392, 1990.
[8] Jean-Louis Auriault. Behavior of porous saturated deformable media. In F. Darve, editor, Geomaterials: Constitutive Equations and Modelling, pages 311-328. Elsevier, New York, 1990.
[9] Santiago Badia, Annalisa Quaini, and Alfio Quarteroni. Coupling Biot and Navier-Stokes equations for modelling fluid-poroelastic media interaction. J. Comput. Phys., 228(21):7986-8014, 2009.
[10] Viorel Barbu. Nonlinear semigroups and differential equations in Banach spaces. Editura Academiei Republicii Socialiste România, Bucharest; Noordhoff International Publishing, Leiden, 1976. Translated from the Romanian.
[11] Maranda Bean, Konstantin Lipnikov, and Son-Young Yi. A block-diagonal preconditioner for a four-field mixed finite element method for Biot's equations. Appl. Numer. Math., 122:1-13, 2017.
[12] Lorenz Berger, Rafel Bordas, David Kay, and Simon Tavener. Stabilized lowest-order finite element approximation for linear three-field poroelasticity. SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 37(5):A2222-A2245, 2015.
[13] M. A. Biot. General theory of three-dimensional consolidation. J. Appl. Phys., 12:155-164, 1941.
[14] M. A. Biot. Theory of elasticity and consolidation for a porous anisotropic solid. J. Appl. Phys., 26:182-185, 1955.
[15] M. A. Biot. General solutions of the equations of elasticity and consolidation for a porous material. J. Appl. Mech., 23:91-96, 1956.
[16] M. A. Biot. Theory of propagation of elastic waves in a fluid-saturated porous solid. I. Low-frequency range. J. Acoust. Soc. Amer., 28:168-178, 1956.
[17] M. A. Biot. Theory of propagation of elastic waves in a fluid-saturated porous solid. II. Higher frequency range. J. Acoust. Soc. Amer., 28:179-191, 1956.
[18] M. A. Biot. Mechanics of deformation and acoustic propagation in porous media. J. Appl. Phys., 33:1482-1498, 1962.
[19] M. A. Biot. Theory of finite deformations of porous solids. Indiana Univ. Math. J., 21:597-620, 1971-72.
[20] Daniele Boffi, Franco Brezzi, and Michel Fortin. Mixed finite element methods and applications, volume 44 of Springer Series in Computational Mathematics. Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.
[21] H. Brézis. Opérateurs maximaux monotones et semi-groupes de contractions dans les espaces de Hilbert. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1973. North-Holland Mathematics Studies, No. 5. Notas de Matemática (50).
[22] Martina Bukac, William Layton, Marina Moraiti, Hoang Tran, and Catalin Trenchea. Analysis of partitioned methods for the Biot system. Numer. Methods Partial Differential Equations, 31(6):1769-1813, 2015.
[23] Martina Bukavc, Ivan Yotov, and Paolo Zunino. Dimensional model reduction for flow through fractures in poroelastic media. ESAIM Math. Model. Numer. Anal., 51(4):1429-1471, 2017.
[24] Robert Burridge and Joseph B. Keller. Poroelasticity equations derived from microstructure. J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 70:1140-1146, 1981.
[25] D. Carleson. Linear thermoelasticity. In Handbuch der Physik, volume VIa/2. Springer, New York, 1972.
[26] Hongsen Chen, Richard E. Ewing, Stephen L. Lyons, Guan Qin, Tong Sun, and David P. Yale. A numerical algorithm for single phase fluid flow in elastic porous media. In Numerical treatment of multiphase flows in porous media (Beijing, 1999), volume 552 of Lecture Notes in Phys., pages 80-92. Springer, Berlin, 2000.
[27] Constantine M. Dafermos. On the existence and the asymptotic stability of solutions to the equations of linear thermoelasticity. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 29:241-271, 1968.
[28] G. Duvaut and J.-L. Lions. Inequalities in mechanics and physics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976. Translated from the French by C. W. John, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 219.
[29] Ivar Ekeland and Roger Témam. Convex analysis and variational problems, volume 28 of Classics in Applied Mathematics. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, english edition, 1999. Translated from the French.
[30] R. P. Gilbert and A. Mikelić. Homogenizing the acoustic properties of the seabed. I. Nonlinear Anal., 40(1-8, Ser. A: Theory Methods):185-212, 2000. Lakshmikantham's legacy: a tribute on his 75th birthday.
[31] Boris Gurevich and Michael Schoenberg. Interface conditions for biot's equations of poroelasticity. J. Acoustical Society of America, 105(5):2585-2589, 1999.
[32] Weimin Han and Mircea Sofonea. Quasistatic contact problems in viscoelasticity and viscoplasticity, volume 30 of $A M S / I P$ Studies in Advanced Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; International Press, Somerville, MA, 2002.
[33] Tosio Kato. Nonlinear semigroups and evolution equations. J. Math. Soc. Japan, 19:508-520, 1967.
[34] N. Kikuchi and J. T. Oden. Contact problems in elasticity: a study of variational inequalities and finite element methods, volume 8 of SIAM Studies in Applied Mathematics. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 1988.
[35] Sarvesh Kumar, Ricardo Oyarzúa, Ricardo Ruiz-Baier, and Ruchi Sandilya. Conservative discontinuous finite volume and mixed schemes for a new four-field formulation in poroelasticity. ESAIM Math. Model. Numer. Anal., 54(1):273-299, 2020.
[36] V. D. Kupradze, T. G. Gegelia, M. O. Basheleur shvili, and T. V. Burchuladze. Three-dimensional problems of the mathematical theory of elasticity and thermoelasticity, volume 25 of North-Holland Series in Applied Mathematics and Mechanics. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, russian edition, 1979. Edited by V. D. Kupradze.
[37] W. M. Lai, J. S. Hou, and V. C. Mow. A triphasic theory for the swelling and deformation behaviors of articular cartilage. J. Biomech. Engrg., 113:245-258, 1991.
[38] Christopher W MacMinn, Eric R Dufresne, and John S Wettlaufer. Fluid-driven deformation of a soft granular material. arXiv preprint arXiv:1405.7311, 2014.
[39] Vincent Martin, Jérôme Jaffré, and Jean E. Roberts. Modeling fractures and barriers as interfaces for flow in porous media. SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 26(5):1667-1691 (electronic), 2005.
[40] V. C. Mow, S. C. Kuei, M. W. Lai, and C. G. Armstrong. Biphasic creep and stress relaxation of articular cartilage in compression: theory and experiment. Journal of Biomechanical Engineering, 102(1):73-84, 1980.
[41] Márcio A. Murad, João N. Guerreiro, and Abimael F. D. Loula. Micromechanical computational modeling of reservoir compaction and surface subsidence. Mat. Contemp., 19:41-69, 2000. VI Workshop on Partial Differential Equations, Part II (Rio de Janeiro, 1999).
[42] Márcio A. Murad, João N. Guerreiro, and Abimael F. D. Loula. Micromechanical computational modeling of secondary consolidation and hereditary creep in soils. Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg., 190(15-17):1985-2016, 2001.
[43] Márcio A. Murad, Vidar Thomée, and Abimael F. D. Loula. Asymptotic behavior of semidiscrete finite-element approximations of Biot's consolidation problem. SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 33(3):10651083, 1996.
[44] Ricardo Oyarzúa and Ricardo Ruiz-Baier. Locking-free finite element methods for poroelasticity. SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 54(5):2951-2973, 2016.
[45] Phillip Joseph Phillips and Mary F. Wheeler. A coupling of mixed and discontinuous Galerkin finite-element methods for poroelasticity. Comput. Geosci., 12(4):417-435, 2008.
[46] Luigi Preziosi and Angiolo Farina. Infiltration of a polymerizing resin in a deformable preform for fiber reinforced composites. In Applied and industrial mathematics, Venice-2, 1998, pages 259-271. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000.
[47] J. Sanchez Hubert and E. Sánchez-Palencia. Vibration and coupling of continuous systems. Asymptotic methods. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
[48] Peter Shi and Meir Shillor. Existence of a solution to the $N$-dimensional problem of thermoelastic contact. Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 17(9-10):1597-1618, 1992.
[49] R. E. Showalter. Monotone operators in Banach space and nonlinear partial differential equations, volume 49 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
[50] R. E. Showalter. Diffusion in poro-elastic media. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 251(1):310-340, 2000.
[51] R. E. Showalter. Diffusion in deformable media. In Resource recovery, confinement, and remediation of environmental hazards (Minneapolis, MN, 2000), volume 131 of IMA Vol. Math. Appl., pages 115-129. Springer, New York, 2002.
[52] R. E. Showalter. Poro-plastic filtration coupled to Stokes flow. In Cheng A.H.-D. Abousleiman, Y. and F.-J. Ulm, editors, Poromechanics III-Biot Centennial (1905-2005), Proceedings,

3rd Biot Conference on Poromechanics, pages 523-528. A.A. Balkema, Leidon/London/New York/Philadelphia/Singapore, 2005.
[53] R. E. Showalter. Poroelastic filtration coupled to Stokes flow. In Oleg Imanuvilov, Guenter Leugering, Roberto Triggiani, and Bing-Yu Zhang, editors, Control Theory of Partial Differential Equations, volume 242 of Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, pages 229-241. Chapman \& Hall, Boca Raton, 2005. Proceedings of a conference at Georgetown University, May, 2003.
[54] R. E. Showalter. Nonlinear degenerate evolution equations in mixed formulation. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 42(5):2114-2131, 2010.
[55] R. E. Showalter and Ulisse Stefanelli. Diffusion in poro-plastic media. Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 27(18):2131-2151, 2004.
[56] R. E. Showalter and Ning Su. Partially saturated flow in a poroelastic medium. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B, 1(4):403-420, 2001.
[57] Roger Temam. Navier-Stokes equations, volume 2 of Studies in Mathematics and its Applications. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1979.
[58] K. von Terzaghi. Theoretical Soil Mechanics. Wiley, New York, 1943.
[59] Xiangsheng Xu. The $N$-dimensional quasistatic problem of thermoelastic contact with Barber's heat exchange conditions. Adv. Math. Sci. Appl., 6(2):559-587, 1996.
[60] Son-Young Yi. Convergence analysis of a new mixed finite element method for Biot's consolidation model. Numer. Methods Partial Differential Equations, 30(4):1189-1210, 2014.
[61] Son-Young Yi. A study of two modes of locking in poroelasticity. SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 55(4):19151936, 2017.

Department of Mathematics, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97330, USA
E-mail address: hosseina@math.oregonstate.edu
E-mail address: show@math.oregonstate.edu


[^0]:    Date: December 28, 2020.
    2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 76S05, 74F10, 76M30; Secondary 35Q30, 35F10.
    Key words and phrases. Coupled fluid - porous medium interface, unilateral displacement constraint, poroelasticity, Biot system, filtration-deformation, Signorini free boundary, interface permeability.

