

## REMARKS ON CAUCHY PROBLEM

Let  $A$  denote a linear operator on a Hilbert space  $H$ , with domain  $D(L) \subset H$  a subspace. (Note that we do *not* make any continuity assumptions on  $A$ .) Suppose the operator satisfies

- $(Av, v)_H \geq 0$  for all  $v \in D(L)$ ,
- $I + A$  maps  $D(L)$  onto  $H$ .

If the operator satisfies a  $V$ -elliptic type assumption, then the first condition will follow easily, and then the Lax-Milgram theorem can be used to show that the second condition also holds. Moreover, these conditions hold for much more general classes of operators than those arising from *elliptic* problems.

We will show later that if an operator satisfies these two conditions, then there is a unique function  $u : [0, \infty) \rightarrow H$  which satisfies the *initial-value problem*

$$cu'(t) + Au(t) = 0, \quad u(0) = u_0.$$

Here we assume  $c > 0$  and  $u_0 \in H$  are given. Also, in this situation it will follow that the corresponding non-homogeneous equation is likewise solvable.

EXAMPLE Let  $H = L^2(0, 1)$ ,  $D(L) = \{v \in H^1(0, 1) : v(0) = 0\}$ , and  $A = \frac{d}{dx}$ .

The two conditions are a bit restrictive, but we can relax them considerably with an elementary observation. Suppose that  $u$  is a solution of the initial-value problem above, and let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define  $w(t) = e^{-\lambda t}u(t)$  for  $t \geq 0$ . Then it is easy to check that  $w(t)$  is a solution of the problem

$$cw'(t) + (\lambda cI + A)w(t) = 0, \quad w(0) = u_0,$$

and, conversely,  $w(t)$  is a solution of this problem only if  $u(t) = e^{\lambda t}w(t)$  is a solution of the original problem. Thus, the initial-value problem is well-posed if

- $((\lambda cI + A)v, v)_H \geq 0$  for all  $v \in D(L)$ ,
- $(1 + \lambda c)I + A$  maps  $D(L)$  onto  $H$ ,

for *some*  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Frequently this is satisfied for large enough  $\lambda$ .

Suppose that  $u(t)$  is the solution under these more general hypotheses. Then we find that

$$\frac{d}{dt}c\|u(t)\|_H^2 = -2(Au(t), u(t))_H \leq 2\lambda c\|u(t)\|_H^2,$$

so we conclude that

$$c\|u(t)\|_H^2 \leq e^{2\lambda t}c\|u_0\|_H^2, \quad t \geq 0.$$

This *stability estimate* shows it is worthwhile to know how small the number  $\lambda$  can be taken.