The Periodic Boundary-Value Problem

Denote the unit cube in \mathbb{R}^N by $Q \equiv (0,1)^N$. Let $a(\cdot) \in L^{\infty}(Q)$ be uniformly positive: $a(x) \ge a_0 > 0, x \in Q$. Consider the *periodic boundary-value problem*

$$-\nabla \cdot a(x)\nabla u(x) = F(x), \quad x \in Q, \tag{1a}$$

$$u|_{x_j=0} = u|_{x_j=1} \text{ and } (1b)$$

$$(a\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j})|_{x_j=0} = (a\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j})|_{x_j=1} \text{ on } \partial Q, \ 1 \le j \le N.$$
 (1c)

These are precisely the boundary conditions that imply that the solution u and the flux $a\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n}$ are continuous when u is Q-periodically extended to \mathbb{R}^N .

The Weak Solution

Set $V = \{v \in H^1(Q) : v|_{x_j=0} = v|_{x_j=1} \text{ on } \partial Q, 1 \leq j \leq N\}.$ If u is a solution of (1), then $u \in V$ and for each $v \in V$ we have

$$\int_{Q} F(x)v(x) \, dx = \int_{Q} a(x)\nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) \, dx - \int_{\partial Q} a\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n}v \, dS$$
$$= \int_{Q} a(x)\nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) \, dx - \sum_{j=1}^{j=N} \int_{\partial Q_j} \left((a\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} v)|_{x_j=1} - (a\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} v)|_{x_j=0} \right) \, dS \,,$$

where $\partial Q_j = \{s = (s_1, ..., s_N) \in \partial Q : s_j = 0\}$. This last sum is zero because of the boundary conditions, so we obtain

$$u \in V: \quad \int_Q a(x)\nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) \, dx = \int_Q F(x)v(x) \, dx \text{ for all } v \in V. \quad (2)$$

Conversely, we can show that any appropriately smooth solution of (2) is a solution of (1).

Notes

- Any two solutions of (2) differ by a constant in V, so we have uniqueness only up to constants.
- By taking v(x) = 1 in (2) we find a *necessary* condition for existence of a solution:

$$\int_{Q} F(x) \, dx = 0 \,. \tag{3}$$

It is clear that the constant functions in V play a prominent role here. Uniqueness holds up to them, and the data $F(\cdot)$ must be L^2 -orthogonal to them.

Hereafter we assume that (3) holds. We define the subspace $V_0 = \{v \in V : \int_Q v(x) dx = 0\}$. These are the functions of V with mean-value equal to zero. Then (2) is equivalent to

$$\tilde{u} \in V_0: \quad \int_Q a(x)\nabla \tilde{u}(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) \, dx = \int_Q F(x)v(x) \, dx \text{ for all } v \in V_0.$$
(4)

where $\tilde{u}(x) = u(x) - \int_Q u(y) dy$. Thus we obtain an alternative weak formulation for which we have uniqueness. What remains is to show that the scalar product $\int_Q \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) dx$ is equivalent to the $H^1(Q)$ -scalar product on V_0 .

The Estimate

We want to show that the gradient and the mean-value of a function provide a bound on the mean-square of the function.

Let $v \in H^1(Q)$ and $x, y \in Q$. By integrating along a path piecewise parallel to the axes, we obtain

$$v(x) - v(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{j=N} \left(v(y_1, \dots, y_{j-1}, y_j, x_{j+1}, \dots x_N) - v(y_1, \dots, y_{j-1}, x_j, x_{j+1}, \dots x_N) \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{j=N} \int_{x_j}^{y_j} \partial_j v(y_1, \dots, y_{j-1}, s, x_{j+1}, \dots x_N) \, ds \, .$$

Square both sides to get the estimate

$$v^{2}(x) + v^{2}(y) - 2v(x)v(y) \le N \sum_{j=1}^{j=N} \int_{0}^{1} (\partial_{j}v)^{2}(y_{1}, ..., y_{j-1}, s, x_{j+1}, ..., x_{N}) ds$$

and integrate this with respect to x and then to y to obtain

$$2\|v\|_{L^{2}(Q)}^{2} - 2\left(\int_{Q} v(x) \, dx\right)^{2} \le N \sum_{j=1}^{j=N} \|\partial_{j}v\|_{L^{2}(Q)}^{2},$$

that is,

$$\|v\|_{L^{2}(Q)}^{2} \leq \left(\int_{Q} v(x) \, dx\right)^{2} + \frac{N}{2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^{2}(Q)}^{2}.$$

This shows that $\left(\|\nabla v\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + (\int_Q v)^2 \right)^{1/2}$ is equivalent to the usual norm on $H^1(Q)$.

Summary

- The equations (1) are the strong form and (2) is equivalent to (4), the weak form of the periodic boundary-value problem.
- The scalar product $(\nabla u, \nabla v)_{L^2(Q)}$ is equivalent to the $H^1(Q)$ scalar product on V_0 .

Theorem 0.1 Assume $a(\cdot) \in L^{\infty}(Q)$ is uniformly positive, $a(x) \ge a_0 > 0$, $x \in Q$ and that $\int_Q F(x) dx = 0$. Then the periodic boundary-value problem (4) has a unique solution. That is, there exists a solution of (2), and any two solutions of (2) differ by a constant.