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## Division Algebras

## Real Numbers

$\mathbb{R}$

## Quaternions

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{H}=\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} j \\
q=(x+y i)+(r+s i) j
\end{gathered}
$$

## Octonions

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{C}=\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} i \\
z=x+y i
\end{gathered}
$$

$\mathbb{O}=\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H} \ell$
Split Octonions

$$
\mathbb{O}^{\prime}=\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H} L
$$



$$
I^{2}=J^{2}=-U, L^{2}=+U
$$

## Split Division Algebras

$$
I^{2}=J^{2}=-U, L^{2}=+U
$$

Signature (4, 4):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=x_{1} U+x_{2} I+x_{3} J+x_{4} K+x_{5} K L+x_{6} J L+x_{7} I L+x_{8} L \Longrightarrow \\
& \quad|x|^{2}=x \bar{x}=\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}\right)-\left(x_{5}^{2}+x_{6}^{2}+x_{7}^{2}+x_{8}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Null elements:

$$
|U \pm L|^{2}=0
$$

Projections:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{U \pm L}{2}\right)^{2} & =\frac{U \pm L}{2} \\
(U+L)(U-L) & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Freudenthal-Tits Magic Square

Freudenthal (1964), Tits (1966):

|  | $\mathbb{R}$ | $\mathbb{C}$ | $\mathbb{H}$ | $\mathbb{O}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{R}^{\prime}$ | $\mathfrak{s u}(3, \mathbb{R})$ | $\mathfrak{s u}(3, \mathbb{C})$ | $\mathfrak{s u}(3, \mathbb{H})$ | $\mathfrak{f}_{4}$ |
| $\mathbb{C}^{\prime}$ | $\mathfrak{s l}(3, \mathbb{R})$ | $\mathfrak{s l}(3, \mathbb{C})$ | $\mathfrak{s l}(3, \mathbb{H})$ | $\mathfrak{e}_{6(-26)}$ |
| $\mathbb{H}^{\prime}$ | $\mathfrak{s p}(6, \mathbb{R})$ | $\mathfrak{s u}(3,3, \mathbb{C})$ | $\mathfrak{d}_{6(-6)}$ | $\mathfrak{e}_{7(-25)}$ |
| $\mathbb{O}^{\prime}$ | $\mathfrak{f}_{4(4)}$ | $\mathfrak{e}_{6(2)}$ | $\mathfrak{e}_{7(-5)}$ | $\mathfrak{e}_{8(-24)}$ |

- $\mathfrak{s u}\left(3, \mathbb{K}^{\prime} \otimes \mathbb{K}\right)$, generated by anti-Hermitian matrices. $\left(p \in \mathbb{K}^{\prime} \otimes \mathbb{K}\right.$ and $\left.q \in \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{K}+\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{K}^{\prime}\right)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
D_{q}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
q & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -q & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad S_{q}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
q & 0 & 0 \\
0 & q & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -2 q
\end{array}\right), \quad X_{p}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & p & 0 \\
-\bar{p} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
Y_{p}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & p \\
0 & -\bar{p} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad Z_{p}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & -\bar{p} \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
p & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Albert Algebra

Albert algebra: $3 \times 3$ Hermitian matrices $\mathcal{A}$ over $\mathbb{O}$. Jordan product:

$$
\mathcal{X} \circ \mathcal{Y}=\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{X} \mathcal{Y}+\mathcal{Y} \mathcal{X})
$$

Freudenthal product:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{X} * \mathcal{Y}=\mathcal{X} \circ \mathcal{Y} & -\frac{1}{2}((\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{X}) \mathcal{Y}+(\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{Y}) \mathcal{X}) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}((\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{X})(\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{Y})-\operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{X} \circ \mathcal{Y})) \mathcal{I}
\end{aligned}
$$

Determinant:

$$
\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{X})=\frac{1}{3} \operatorname{tr}((\mathcal{X} * \mathcal{X}) \circ \mathcal{X})
$$

Idea:

$$
\operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{X} \circ \mathcal{Y}) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{X} \cdot \mathcal{Y}, \quad \mathcal{X} * \mathcal{Y} \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}
$$

## $\mathfrak{s o}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) \subset \mathfrak{s o}(\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{q}+\mathbf{1})$


"Conformalization:"

$$
\mathfrak{s o}(p+1, q+1)=\mathfrak{s o}(p, q) \oplus 2 \times(\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q}) \oplus \mathfrak{s o}(1,1)
$$

- $\mathfrak{s o}(p+1, q+1)$ contains $\mathfrak{s o}(p, q)$ and two $p+q$ vectors.

Conformal group (e.g. $p=3, q=1$ )
$=$ Lorentz group + translations + conformal translations + dilation
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## Freudenthal Description of $\mathfrak{e}_{7}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Theta=(\phi, \rho, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \in \mathfrak{e}_{7} \\
\mathcal{P}=(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, p, q) \in \mathbf{5 6} \\
\phi \in \mathfrak{e}_{6}, \rho \in \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathrm{H}_{3}(\mathbb{O}), \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \in \mathrm{H}_{3}(\mathbb{O}), p, q \in \mathbb{R} \\
\mathcal{X} \longmapsto \phi(\mathcal{X})+\frac{1}{3} \rho \mathcal{X}+2 \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{Y}+\mathcal{A} q \\
\mathcal{Y} \longmapsto 2 \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{X}+\phi^{\prime}(\mathcal{Y})-\frac{1}{3} \rho \mathcal{Y}+\mathcal{B} p \\
p \longmapsto \operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{Y})-\rho p \\
q \longmapsto \operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{X})+\rho q
\end{gathered}
$$
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## Decomposing $\mathfrak{e}_{8}$ over $\mathfrak{e}_{7}$

- $\mathfrak{e}_{8}=\mathfrak{e}_{7} \oplus 2 \times 56 \oplus \mathfrak{s u}(2)$
- $\mathfrak{e}_{7}$ is the conformalization of $\mathfrak{e}_{6}$ : $\mathfrak{e}_{6}$, two Albert algebras, and a dilation.
- Each 56 is a minimal representation of $\mathfrak{e}_{7}$, generated by two Albert algebras and two scalars.
- The action of $\mathfrak{e}_{7}$ on 56 uses the Freudenthal product and the trace of the Jordan product.
$\Longrightarrow$ These products must be realized as commutators in $\mathfrak{e}_{8}$ !!


## Freudenthal Towers



$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathfrak{e}_{8(-24)}=\mathfrak{e}_{7(-25)} \oplus 2 \times 5 \mathbf{6} \oplus \mathfrak{s l}(2, \mathbb{R}) \\
K_{ \pm}=\frac{1}{2}(K \pm K L) \ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

## Two Subalgebras of $\mathbb{O}^{\prime}$

$$
\{I \pm I L, J \pm J L, K \mp K L\} \subset \mathbb{O}^{\prime}
$$

- These are 3-dimensional subalgebras!
- The only nonzero product is $(I \pm I L)(J \pm J L)=2(K \mp K L)$.


## Albert Algebra as Commutators

"Dot":

$$
[(K \pm K L) \mathcal{X},(I \mp I L) \mathcal{Y}]=\operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{X} \circ \mathcal{Y}) A_{J \pm J L}
$$

"Cross":

$$
[(I \pm I L) \mathcal{X},(J \pm J L) \mathcal{Y}]=4(K \mp K L) \mathcal{X} * \mathcal{Y}
$$

Determinant:

$$
\left[K_{ \pm} \mathcal{A},\left[I_{ \pm} \mathcal{A}, J_{ \pm} \mathcal{A}\right]\right]=\mp(\operatorname{det} \mathcal{A}) G_{L}
$$

[Dray, Manogue, Wilson (2023): A New Division Algebra Representation of $E_{7}$ ]

## Graded Lie algebras

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_{-m} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{m}
$$

- $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$ semisimple
- $\mathfrak{g}_{p}$ nilpotent for $p \neq 0$
- $\left[\mathfrak{g}_{p}, \mathfrak{g}_{q}\right] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{p+q}$


## Gradings of Exceptional Lie Algebras

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{s o}(p+1, q+1)= & (\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q}) \oplus(\mathfrak{s o}(p, q) \oplus \mathfrak{s o}(1,1)) \oplus(\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q}) \\
\mathfrak{e}_{7(-25)}= & \mathbf{2 7} \oplus\left(\mathfrak{e}_{6(-26)} \oplus \mathfrak{s o}(1,1)\right) \oplus \mathbf{2 7} \\
\mathfrak{e}_{8(-24)}= & \mathbf{5 6} \oplus\left(\mathfrak{e}_{7(-25)} \oplus \mathfrak{s l}(2, \mathbb{R})\right) \oplus \mathbf{5 6} \\
= & (2 \times \mathbf{1}) \oplus \mathbf{2 7} \oplus(2 \times \mathbf{2 7}) \\
& \oplus\left(\mathfrak{e}_{6(-26)} \oplus \mathfrak{s l}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{s o}(1,1)\right) \\
& \oplus(2 \times \mathbf{2 7}) \oplus \mathbf{2 7} \oplus(2 \times \mathbf{1}) \\
\mathfrak{g}= & \mathfrak{g}_{-3} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

## SUMMARY

## Albert algebras $\subset \mathfrak{e}_{8}$

- Wilson, Dray, and Manogue: An octonionic construction of $E_{8}$..., Innov. Incidence Geom. 20, 611-634 (2023); arXiv.org:2204.04996
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- Dray, Manogue, and Wilson: A New ... Representation of $E_{7}$; (in preparation)

